

LITERACY FOR METIS
AND NON-STATUS
INDIAN PEOPLES:
A NATIONAL STRATEGY

by

The Gabriel Dumont Institute of
Native Studies and Applied Research Inc.

and

The Metis National Council

This document is a condensed version of a final report on a national survey funded by the Department of the Secretary of State.

WHO THE STUDY IS ABOUT

This study reflects the condition of literacy programming for Metis and non-status Indian peoples in Canada. The participation of the Metis and non-status Indian organizations across Canada made this document possible.

The Metis People of Canada

The Metis National Council defines Metis as:

- an Aboriginal People distinct from Indian and Inuit;
- descendants of the historic Metis who evolved in what is now western Canada as a people with a common political will;
- descendants those Aboriginal Peoples who have been absorbed by the historic Metis

(The Metis Community comprises members of the above who share a common culture identity and political will.) Our homeland encompasses the three prairie provinces, northeastern British Columbia, parts of the Northwest Territories and parts of the Northern United States (Montana, North Dakota and Minnesota).

The Non-Status Indian Peoples of Canada

Those people of Aboriginal ancestry who are not defined as Indian within the criteria of the Indian Act and are not part of the Metis community.

THE STUDY

Introduction

The following strategy for Aboriginal literacy was developed by the Gabriel Dumont Institute in collaboration with the Metis National Council. The purpose of the research was to provide recommendations for action in the areas of policy, program and strategies to meet the literacy needs of Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples.

Research

The research was designed to identify successful approaches and programs presently in existence and at the same time to discover gaps and needs. Telephone interviews were conducted with three separate groups: personnel in Literacy programming for provincial and territorial governments; representatives of Metis and Non-Status Indian organizations; and personnel in Literacy programs deemed successful for Aboriginal peoples. Literature pertinent to Literacy and Aboriginal peoples was used to provide a framework for the findings from the surveys.

THE LITERACY CAMPAIGN AND THE METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES

Metis and Non-Status Indian people are not being well served by the Literacy Campaign in Canada.

Metis and Non-Status Indian people are students in programs but their particular needs have not been recognized nor addressed. The input of the Metis and Non-Status Indian organizations has not been sought nor have programs designed and proposed by them been supported. Metis and Non-Status Indian community representatives who have tried to become involved are very frustrated.

Questions arise such as "What kind of access do Metis and Non-Status Indian people actually have to programs for literacy?" "Who guarantees that they have access?" "What mechanisms ensure that they get access?"

Structures and Processes in Literacy for Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples: The Issues

Lack of Knowledge of the Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples

Lack of Knowledge

It became clear in speaking with officials involved with literacy programming that the distinctions between the needs of Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples were often confused with needs of Status Indian peoples. Governments are much more aware of the Indian communities and cultures in their jurisdictions than the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples.

No Formal Structures for Involving the Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples

No Involvement

Provincial departments contract services with and to reserve communities and the federal government easier than with Metis and Non-Status Indian communities and organizations. In most jurisdictions there is no formal mechanism in place to contract directly with Metis and Non-Status Indian organizations for the delivery of programs.

Lack of Effective Communication Links Between the Literacy Units and the Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples

There is little direct communication between the Metis and Non-Status Indian organizations and education authorities. There is a high level of frustration on the part of the Metis and Non-Status Indian organizations because Literacy is seen as one more area where programs were designed to meet the perceived needs of members of the Metis and Non-Status Indian communities but were designed, developed and implemented without any involvement of the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples.

No Communication

Lack of Policy Development

No jurisdiction in Canada has a policy regarding literacy programs and Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples even though many have policies with regard to the education of Aboriginal peoples in elementary and high school or post-secondary education. In most literacy units there appeared to be no knowledge of the existing policies and therefore, these policies had not been adapted to the needs of the literacy programs. In the Northwest Territories, British Columbia and the Yukon specific reference was made to pertinent reports, legislation and policies that impinged on the work of the literacy unit.

*No Program
Policy*

Lack of a Fiscal Policy For Literacy Programming for Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples

There are no funding structures in place to address the long term literacy needs of Metis and Non-Status peoples. Funding is on a program by program basis. Many of the spokespeople from organizations of Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples stated that federal funding had been essential in their province to give impetus to literacy programming.

No Fiscal Policy

Lack of Structures and Procedures for the Involvement of Metis and Non-Status Indian People in the Evaluation of Programs Aimed at Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples

No Involvement In Evaluating Programs

For the most part the procedure used in the delivery of literacy programs is community-based. Community organizations apply for funding. The funding request is approved or rejected on the criteria set at the provincial level. There is no mechanism in place to involve members of the Aboriginal community in the decisions about funding. There is no process for involvement of the Aboriginal community in evaluating proposals, monitoring programs, making suggestions as to appropriate materials or giving feedback on the outcomes of projects. There are very few Aboriginal people on staff in the projects identified. No where does there appear to be a formalized acceptance of the right of Aboriginal peoples to control their own programs nor a commitment to Affirmative Action in the hiring policy for programs.

Jurisdictional Complications in the Delivery of Literacy Programs

Jurisdictional Complications

In many provinces, Community Colleges or other agencies deliver literacy programs. These institutions are autonomous and their relationships with Aboriginal communities dictate how programs are delivered to communities.

Literacy Councils and the Metis and Non-Status Indian People's Needs

Literacy Councils and Aboriginal Needs

Literacy Councils are part of the literacy network. In some jurisdictions, these organizations have supported the Aboriginal people. In other jurisdictions, the Aboriginal people have had little involvement or influence within the Councils. The Metis and Non-Status Indian community expressed concern that the development of Literacy Councils might not be the best way to address the problems of literacy for the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples.

Lack of Philosophical and Pedagogical Foundation for Programming

Little research or evaluation data guides programming for Aboriginal literacy. There is no policy about: Mother Tongue Literacy; English as a Second Language; or Aboriginal control in literacy programming. There is a recognized lack of appropriate materials and curricula. There is no attempt to apply research already existing in learning styles, teaching styles, orality, writing processes and Aboriginal peoples.

Literacy—What Do People Mean?

People from different provincial and territorial governments, Metis and Non-Status Indian organizations and literacy programs, have a variety of definitions for "literacy". The definition sets the parameters of a program, determines the characteristics of the clientele, influences staffing decisions, dictates content and presupposes outcomes. Agreement on what is meant by "Literacy" is essential to meeting the needs of the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples.

*No Consistent
Definition*

DEFINING LITERACY

Let's start with a dictionary definition:

*Literacy
Defined*

Literacy: The quality or state of being literate

Literate: 1. a. educated or cultured

b. able to read and write

2. being versed in literature

3. having knowledge and competence

(Websters Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary, 1985, p. 697.)

This dictionary definition stresses the "cultural" aspects of literacy as well as the ability to read and write. It places a social value on being "literate". Literacy bestows upon the individual recognition for being knowing and skilled. A literate person possesses certain abilities, knowledge and awareness accepted as legitimate by society. Therefore, the main benefit of being literate is the entry into the cultural world of mainstream Canada.

*Literacy and
Culture*

How does this definition relate to Aboriginal peoples? Ferdman (1990) states that cultural identity both derives from and modulates the symbolic and practical significance of literacy for individuals as well as groups (182). How does the cultural identity of Aboriginal peoples influence their literacy? Aboriginal cultures are non-literate cultures. Aboriginal languages have been, for the most, part preserved as oral languages. What is important to be remembered, is said. The Elders were and still are among other things, the keepers of the spiritual knowledge, the historians, legal advisors, counsellors, tellers of tales, moral teachers, medical researchers, and guardians of the corporate memory.

*Non-Literate
Not Illiterate*

Those things that have to be known and skills that have to be learned within the community are transmitted through the teachings of the culture and the language. This knowledge is non-literate knowledge. This is the valued knowledge in Aboriginal communities.

"We must not confuse being non-literate with being illiterate and label our Elders as unknowing because they are unread." (Christopher LaFontaine, Gabriel Dumont Institute)

DEFINING LITERACY FOR ABORIGINAL COMMUNITIES

Aboriginal Communities

The conditions surrounding issues of languages and literacy are very complex in Aboriginal communities and families. In many communities, very few adults would have a level of schooling higher than Grade nine. This conclusion is based on the history of Aboriginal education in Canada. Aboriginal peoples have had limited access to high schools. As a result most adults in Aboriginal communities would be classified as "functionally illiterate". What does this classification add to our understanding of the literacy needs in these communities?

A closer look at many communities shows that the "functional language" of the community is an Aboriginal language. Can we then say that those who cannot communicate in the Aboriginal language in this society are functionally illiterate?

"Research into Aboriginal literacy is showing that Aboriginal communities had high levels of literacy in their own languages. Cree, Ojibway, Kutchin, and Inuit individuals wrote diaries, poetry, letters, and autobiographies in their own languages". (Cecil King, (Odawa, Indian and Northern Education Program).

*Aboriginal
Literacy*

Contemporary researchers, (Shearwood, 1987; Berry and Bennett, 1989) demonstrate that there are a variety of literacies co-existing in Aboriginal communities. Unfortunately only the English essayist literacy has been given legitimacy in Canadian society.

"Each language has its own life, its own spirit and its instructions are to shape and carry our thoughts in the sacred paths given to its nation." (High Pine, 1976, 30).

*Aboriginal
Languages and
World View*

Language structures our world. Our reality is not only reflected in the words but through the structures of the language itself.

*Literacy
and Aboriginal
Languages*

Literacy in Aboriginal languages is a continuation of the cultural system of structuring the world.

The English and French languages represent different systems for defining and describing the world. They incorporate a different value system and different structuring principles.

There is a spiritual connectedness between Aboriginal people and their languages. This places a burden on Aboriginal people who acquire other languages and literacies which begin to take over from their Aboriginal one. Many Aboriginal people see the loss of Aboriginal language use as symbolic of the loss of the values, practices and worldview that it embodies and a breach of faith with the Great Spirit.

Aboriginal Community Attitudes Towards Languages and Literacies

*Aboriginal
Resistance to
Literacy*

Statistics would indicate that Aboriginal peoples in Canada have a high resistance level to literacy programs. Resistance to English or French literacy among Aboriginal peoples may be assumed not only from the numbers that have remained "illiterate" but also, from the high drop-out rates in adult education programs. A study in northern Ontario in 1989, showed that the drop-out rates of Aboriginal students in adult basic education courses at Confederation College, Thunder Bay was 45% and in Sioux Lookout was 25%-30% (Keewatin-Aski Ltd., 1989, Response, p.4). Drop-out rates in northern Alberta range from an average of 25% in ABE programs in the northern region to a high of 80%. No studies have satisfactorily explained the high drop-out rates of Aboriginal peoples.

Factors which enter into the resistance of Aboriginal peoples to English and French language literacy are often deeply felt things such as loss of language, threat to cultural values, loss of identity, etc. which are not easily articulated nor likely to be reported to school officials.

Scollon and Scollon (1979) found that Athabaskans resisted becoming "literate" because of negative feelings towards the behaviour of English speakers. Athabaskans fully

understand that becoming English language literate is to become bicultural.

There is at the same time among many Aboriginal people, resistance to Aboriginal language literacy. Many believe that languages should only be taught in the home and community in the traditional oral way. There are those who feel that the oral traditions are destroyed when they are written down. The essence of orality is orality.

Orality and Literacy

In many Aboriginal homes and communities, the literate tradition exists beside a vibrant orality. All Aboriginal peoples of Canada have descended from oral cultures, where the communication is face to face. The Elders are the repositories of the traditions about every aspect of life. The traditions are very much woven into the language that is spoken in the community. There is a fear by many that the loss of language will result in the death of the culture.

The sanctity of the traditions is considered to be incompatible with the written word. In many communities, Elders do not allow the traditions to be put into literate form especially in European languages.

Writing and the Oral Tradition

It is important to understand the discomfort many Aboriginal peoples have with the written word. The written word is immutable. Many Aboriginal people feel that the invention of the written word has caused a "blind worship of the written word, that has denuded the spoken word of its power and sacredness." (Luther Standing Bear quoted in Lake, 1983, 134).

The oral tradition has different checks and balances than the literate tradition. The tenets of academic writing, such things as, logical conclusion, linearity, internal consistency, and quoting authority, come from the Western Intellectual Tradition. Such tenets are not part of the Oral Tradition. The process of writing oral traditions is fraught with the attempts of non-Aboriginal scholars to structure them into the "accepted" literary format, i.e. a story must have certain characteristics to be a "story".

*Oral Traditions
and Aboriginal
Literacy*

On the other hand, within Aboriginal communities there are those who believe that unless Aboriginal languages are written, the traditions written down and taught formally that the languages will eventually become extinct (Zaharlick, 1982, 44). The Aboriginal proponents of written language forms want to acknowledge that Aboriginal children are not learning the language and traditions at home, that they are learning English only and they want to stop that trend by instituting formal Aboriginal language literacy programs. These people passionately believe that Aboriginal literacy programs are the only way to preserve Aboriginal languages, cultures and traditions. The opponents to Aboriginal literacy programs feel just as strongly that Aboriginal language literacy programs will destroy Aboriginal languages, cultures and traditions.

Aboriginal Languages as Non-Dominant Discourses

**Learning
English/French to
gain "Social Goods"**

Aboriginal people are seeking to become literate to become part of Canadian society. Gee (1989) defines literacy in terms of the mastery of secondary discourse, which means learning another way of doing, being, valuing and believing. Some ways of doing, being, valuing and believing are given more value in society. These are called dominant discourses. English and French are dominant discourses in Canada.

The mastery of the dominant discourse brings with it the social "goods" (money, prestige, status, etc.).

Aboriginal languages are non-dominant discourses and they bring solidarity with a particular social network but not wider status and social "goods" in society at large (Gee, 8)

For Gee, teaching, reading and writing is teaching values and that for a person to acquire a dominant discourse he/she must take on values that may conflict with their community's values. Many Aboriginal peoples see the acceptance of English and French and the destruction of their own languages and cultures as two sides of the coin.

Many Aboriginal leaders have accepted the necessity of training their people in English language skills at the expense of language and cultural programming in an attempt to solve the pressing economic needs of their communities. Many Aboriginal communities have been split because of this. Many question the priority put on economic development if it results in the acquisition of a different set of values which conflict with the traditional values of the community and the loss of the Aboriginal language. Supporters of the economic imperative state that Aboriginal languages don't help Aboriginal people to get jobs, support themselves or to become part of the mainstream society. Their loss is regrettable but perhaps necessary for Aboriginal people to survive.

Literacy and Power

Much discussion and attention has been paid to the concept of literacy as empowerment. To acquire the ability to read and write is to acquire the power over your own life. This power is often assumed to bring greater social equality. Many are questioning these assumptions.

Literacy for What?

Literacy is hardly liberating if it is training Aboriginal peoples to stay in the positions already assigned to them in Canadian society. Literacy classes associated with employment programs in Aboriginal communities have often had the effect of training Aboriginal people to "Think rightly" for the job they are entering. In this way this literacy is assimilative rather than liberating in that it teaches the motivations, values and knowledges which are socialization for economic advancement and subvert the community-based values.

Literacy for Aboriginal people must be literacy for active roles in shaping their society. The questions to be asked of all programs must be "Literacy for what?", "At what price?", and "Who determines the answers to these question?"

DEFINING LITERACY FOR A LITERACY STRATEGY FOR METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLE AND COMMUNITIES

Any literacy campaign involving Aboriginal peoples must:

- (1) Recognize the existence of a variety of literacies in Aboriginal communities;
- (2) Recognize English-language literacy programming for Aboriginal peoples as cross-cultural experiences;
- (3) Recognize the tensions between orality and literacy;
- (4) Recognize that non-literate people have different language usage methods, concepts, and techniques than English or French writers do;
- (5) Recognize that the teaching of essayist English is a narrow restricted training in English literacy;
- (6) Recognize that literacy is not new to communities and that the resistance in the present context is related to the system which has forced English or French language literacy at the expense of the Aboriginal languages;
- (7) Recognize that there is resistance to literacy within Aboriginal communities based on the threat to cultural identity posed by the assumption of the values of an outside culture bound within the English or French language literacy;
- (8) Recognize that there are serious differences of opinion within Aboriginal communities on the costs and benefits of English or French language literacy;
- (9) Recognize the rich heritage of language experience both oral and literate that Aboriginal peoples possess;
- (10) Recognize that issues of English or French language literacy cannot be considered in isolation from issues of Aboriginal language retention, retrieval and renewal.

ISSUES IDENTIFIED BY ABORIGINAL ORGANIZATIONS

There is no acceptance in Canadian society at the present time of the right of Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples to control literacy programming geared towards members of these communities.

*Control by
Aboriginal
Communities*

There is no equivalent of the "Indian Control of Indian Education" policy formally followed by government agencies in working with Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples in Canada.

"Let the federal government formulate a fiscal policy and a general policy and we will do the rest." (Audreen Hourie, Manitoba Metis Federation)

"The fundamental issue is control. Policies and programs at all levels are developed by autonomous units somewhere with no contact in the community." (Brad Thompson, Ontario Metis and Aboriginal Association)

"Only through direct funding to the Metis community itself will the needs be properly assessed, identified and appropriate programs be devised." (Doreen Richardson, Metis Association of Alberta)

"There is a need for a coherent, integrated plan for Metis self-determination beginning with an act of Parliament establishing principles of Aboriginal self-government in all educational programming funded by the federal government." (Larry Desmeules, Metis National Council to the Standing Committee in Aboriginal Affairs, House of Commons, June 20, 1990 - Hearings on Aboriginal Literacy.)

"If you want to develop programs for us, involve us from the outset so that the delivery ensures that it meet our needs." (Brad Thompson, Ontario, Metis and Aboriginal Association)

*Involvement
in the Total
Process*

"The traditional institutional structures do not meet the needs of Aboriginal people and are reluctant to contract with Aboriginal organizations and communities. We need our own institutions and structures to support and legitimize these institutions: fiscal policies; contracting arrange-

*Aboriginal
Educational
Institutions*

*Relevant
Curriculum for
Metis and Non-Status
Indian People*

ments; policies that support and promote them; policies that support cultural knowledge and approaches." (Audreen Hourie, Manitobal Metis Federation)

"The major gap as I see it in literacy programming is the lack of teaching Metis people within the context of our culture. The necessary component to overcome this problem is the lifting of the suppression of the Metis people and the proper history of the Metis reflected in Canadian history books. Any literacy program, in order to have some semblance of success, must give the recipient some self-identificaiton as to the program being offered." (Norman Evans, President, Pacific Metis Federation)

Culturally relevant materials related to Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples are missing in literacy programming right across the country.

CHARACTERISTICS OF SUCCESSFUL PROGRAMS

Only two programs for Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples were identified. These programs were visited and their personnel interviewed. Other programs which were named by both Aboriginal people and government officials were contacted. The following are characteristics of successful programs gleaned from the survey.

1. Control, support, and promotion from the local Aboriginal community.
2. Flexibility
3. Curriculum generated by the program itself and geared to the culture, language and interests of the participants.
4. Confidence building activities.
5. Support systems geared to student needs - Metis counsellors for Metis students.
6. Non-traditional teaching approaches: e.g. computer-assisted; developmental drama; oral history projects, etc.
7. Warm, informal learning environment.
8. Caring instructors.
9. The ability and desire to deal with the real life problems of students as they occur.

DEVELOPING A NATIONAL STRATEGY FOR LITERACY FOR METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES

Presently lip service is paid to the needs of Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples in the area of literacy programming in most jurisdictions although there are notable exceptions. It became increasingly apparent to us in the course of this study that if present structures, processes and strategies continue, the needs of our people will never be addressed. This is unacceptable for we have a young, quickly growing, increasingly disenchanting population.

The right of Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples to demand programs that both meet our particular community needs and the requirements of the larger Canadian society must be recognized. We ask the federal government to join with us in a passionate fervent crusade towards maximizing the human resource base in the Metis and Non-Status Indian communities of Canada.

RECOMMENDATION ONE:

*A National
Forum*

THAT A NATIONAL FORUM BE HELD IN THE NEAR FUTURE FOR METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES TO DISCUSS AND DEBATE THE ISSUES OF LITERACY FOR METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES

Participants in this study representing Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples stated that they would appreciate the opportunity to discuss, debate and share information with other Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples and organizations about the issues around literacy. A national forum based on the results of this report was suggested as a good next move.

RECOMMENDATION TWO:

THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA IN COLLABORATION WITH MEMBERS OF THE METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES DEVELOP A LITERACY CAMPAIGN SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THE

METIS AND NON-INDIAN COMMUNITIES AND INDIVIDUALS.

Such a campaign must be based on agreed upon governing principles and operating formulae. It must include a ten to twenty year mandate with a fiscal arrangement which supports the activities required. It must specify goals and dates for meeting those goals. It must outline the relationships among the governments and the Aboriginal organizations, educational institutions, communities and individuals.

*A Literacy
Campaign
for Metis and
Non-Status
Indian
Peoples*

Recognition of the complexities of the issues of literacy for Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples must be made explicit within the campaign plan and the responsibility of programmers to provide appropriate programming must be made perfectly clear.

RECOMMENDATION THREE:

THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA PASS THE METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES' EDUCATION ACT TO DEFINE THE PARAMETERS FOR THE FEDERAL FUNDING OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS FOR METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES.

*An Education
Act*

Federal legislation governing education is not unknown in Canada. The Canadian government has taken legislative action in the past to provide impetus for action in the area of education. The legislation supporting vocational education is a case in point.

The fact that the federal government funds so many educational programs for Aboriginal peoples puts some responsibility on the federal government to provide the laws to govern the expenditure of those funds. Presently, programs are functioning with little evaluation, few guidelines and very little accountability to either the government or the Aboriginal people.

One of the fundamental principles that must be built into the delivery systems of educational programming to Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples, is the obliga-

tion of the program deliverer to meet the requirements of the Metis and Non-Status Indian community. Canada needs a legislative mandate which legitimizes this position similar to Sections 315a in the U.S. Adult Education Act which regulate the conditions under which programs are provided for adult Indian peoples. We propose the following model:

The Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples' Education Act

The Act would state the following principles:

- (1) that the Government of Canada accepts the UNESCO position that literacy is a basic human right, accessible to everyone;
- (2) that the Government of Canada accepts that the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples of this country have not been served well by the educational institutions of this country;
- (3) that the Government of Canada accepts the right of the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples to be involved in decisions relating to educational programming for them;
- (4) that the Government of Canada promotes the development of educational institutions for Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples;
- (5) that the Government of Canada accepts the view that changes in the educational services offered to the Aboriginal peoples are urgently needed to meet the challenges of the 21st Century;

The proposed Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples' Education Act would regulate the expenditure of all federal monies designated for any and all educational initiatives directed towards Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples. The Act would govern monies paid out through federal departments, Crown Corporations, cost-sharing agreements with other governments, agreements with corporations and any other contractors. The Act would stipulate that monies shall not be

expended unless the Aboriginal people for whom the educational initiative is intended have adequate involvement in the planning and development of the project and that continuing involvement is an essential part of the operation and evaluation of the project. Applications from Aboriginal educational institutions, community groups or organizations will get priority in the funding of educational programs.

The Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples' Education Act would specify the funding available for each year to meet the goals of the Literacy Campaign and other national education strategies. Fiscal policy would be established and the priorities for spending would be set in consultation with Aboriginal groups. Management Committees composed of representatives from Aboriginal groups would monitor the progress in meeting the predetermined goals.

Institutions currently receiving federal funds for programs offering education to Aboriginal peoples will have a specific period of time to bring their programs in line with the national agenda or risk the loss of funding. Aboriginal organizations would be given the responsibility of managing programs which fail to restructure to meet the requirements of the Act.

The Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples' Education Act is envisaged to provide a vehicle whereby the cultural and linguistic differences within the Aboriginal community of Canada will be promoted and preserved. Further, it is meant to give Aboriginal peoples and institutions the maximum amount of flexibility in assessing local needs and developing programs and materials to meet those needs.

RECOMMENDATION FOUR:

THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA ADOPT THE CONCEPT OF ABORIGINAL CONTROL OF ABORIGINAL EDUCATION AS A FRAMEWORK FOR THE PROVISION OF EDUCATIONAL SERVICES TO THE METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES OF CANADA

*Aboriginal Control
of Aboriginal
Education Policy*

Research supports the view of when Aboriginal peoples control their own programs more effective programs result. Community controlled, designed, initiated, developed and delivered programs are the most effective. A broad definition of community is needed to meet the needs of all the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples. At one level, community-based means discrete identifiable geographic centres. Community-based, at another level of meaning applies to the larger community of the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples and the regional, provincial or national institutions which are in place to serve the needs of the community as a whole.

RECOMMENDATION FIVE:

*Support
Structures*

THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA ESTABLISH SUPPORT STRUCTURES FOR THE EDUCATION OF METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES

Fiscal Support for Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples

A comprehensive long-range fiscal plan is needed for the educational future of the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples. Structures must be created whereby the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples have access to federal funding to promote programs to meet their needs. What is the federal framework for financing strategies related to Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples? What is the Federal funding formula used by the federal government in supporting the needs of Indian and Inuit peoples? What is the formula used to provide for the Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples?

When the jurisdictional responsibilities are sorted out, then the structures must be built to allow Metis and Non-Status Indian communities to contract needed services.

Funding for Literacy

Literacy campaigns need to have a ten to twenty year life span to be successful. This means that a serious literacy campaign for Aboriginal peoples in Canada needs a budget that is ongoing and long-term. This funding must be based on realistic statistics that identify the clientele in various types of learning situations. Successful programs must be funded on a continuous basis. Innovations and experimental approaches planned in conjunction with Aboriginal communities should be encouraged but not at the expense of existing programs that have proven themselves to the Aboriginal people.

Funding for the production and dissemination of local materials should be an expected expense as part of Aboriginal literacy programs.

Cultural Support Systems

There is a need for a comprehensive fiscal plan for the creation, development, renewal and maintenance of a support network for Literacy among the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples. Literacy Campaigns must be passionate and all encompassing to be successful. Support for literacy takes many forms. In the Metis and Non-Status Indian communities support is needed for Aboriginal media: radio, television, newspapers, computer software, and books in Aboriginal languages and in English and French. All types of creative expression should be fostered in Aboriginal communities from the recording of the Elders on tape to theatrical productions and poetry readings.

An Elder who has a story to tell should know that within the community the story can be recorded, translated (if necessary), transcribed, reproduced and placed in reading and study programs of Aboriginal adults and children.

Successful literacy programs use approaches that meet the students "where they are" using culturally relevant materials-often transitional materials from Abo-

iginal cultural and linguistic experiences. It is hard to find materials that portray the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples' perspective, history and realities. We need support to develop Michif Cree, Michif Saulteaux and Michif Sioux as well as materials to tell our story.

Student Funding

Student funding must be part of the fiscal support system. Metis and Non-Status Indian students trying to become literate are funded inadequately. Not only is the system of programs complex but the funding available is a disincentive to "becoming literate". "Literacy at what cost?" is a question asked by Aboriginal students.

RECOMMENDATION SIX:

Needs Assessment

THAT A NATIONAL LITERACY NEEDS ASSESSMENT OF THE METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES BE UNDERTAKEN

It is critical that meaningful statistics on the needs of the Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples of Canada be collected. Census data are not only inaccurate but the categories used to denote Aboriginal ancestry are not helpful. A national picture of these Aboriginal people is needed. We need to know things such as: educational levels as related to Mother Tongue; Home language as related to educational levels; numbers of people in training programs; people's aspirations; comparisons of characteristics of people receiving programming and those not, etc. Basic questions such as, " How many people are there in need of programs?" and " What types of programs do people need?" must be answered to be able to assess what infrastructure must be established to support a successful Literacy Campaign for Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples.

We know that the need for literacy is great among our people. We need to know how great it is to prepare for the future. How could the institutions of adult educa-

tion currently in place possibly cope with the demand if all of our people needing and wanting literacy appeared at their doors?

Assumptions are made about the characteristics of Metis and Non-Status Indian communities. A lot of assumptions come from people having some knowledge of the Indian people of the area and their history, culture and experience. In some cases these assumptions are partially correct. In other cases, they do not fit our situation at all. We need specific factual information to describe our position to those who make decisions based on their knowledge of us.

RECOMMENDATION SEVEN:

THAT A NATIONAL LITERACY COALITION FOR METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES BE FORMED MADE UP OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES OF CANADA WITH THE MANDATE TO MANAGE THE ACTIVITIES OF THE LITERACY CAMPAIGN

*Literacy
Coalition*

It is critical that the Literacy Campaign for Metis and Non-Status Indian Peoples be managed by Aboriginal people. A national coalition is necessary to provide a management committee to supervise activities undertaken on behalf of the Metis and Non-Status Indian communities. Liaison and communication with similar organizations working on the literacy campaign for the Indian and Inuit peoples must be maintained.

RECOMMENDATION EIGHT:

THAT RESEARCH BE UNDERTAKEN TO ESTABLISH PHILOSOPHICAL AND PEDAGOGICAL CRITERIA FOR THE FOUNDATION OF CURRICULUM AND PROGRAMS FOR METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES

*Research
Base*

It is obvious that programs for Metis and Non-Status Indian peoples are set up without benefit of research or proven experience which provides criteria for judging their value. We are tired of being experimented

upon. We propose that a research project be set up which incorporates culturally relevant materials and culturally relevant approaches to provide the foundation for future programming.

RECOMMENDATION NINE:

*National
Literacy
Council*

THAT A NATIONAL LITERACY COUNCIL FOR METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES BE FORMED TO BRING TOGETHER PRACTITIONERS WORKING IN THE LITERACY CAMPAIGN FOR METIS AND NON-STATUS INDIAN PEOPLES

Networking, information sharing and support must be provided to programs. Literacy Councils have been very effective in some areas in bringing concerned people together. Presently, there is a need for Metis and Non-Status Indian peopleS themselves to share their experiences and develop strategies and action plans to meet their particular needs.

APPENDIX A

Steering Committee for
A Comprehensive Literacy Strategy for Aboriginal Peoples

Metis National Council Representation
Ron Rivard, Executive Director
Larry Desmeules, President, Metis Association of Alberta

Gabriel Dumont Institute Representation
Christopher LaFontaine, Executive Director
Donovan Young, Director, Research and Development

Principal Researcher: Cathy I. Littlejohn, Ph.D.

APPENDIX B

List of Government Officials and/or Literacy Advocates Interviewed

Newfoundland
Wayne Taylor,
Policy Advisor on Literacy,
Advanced Studies Branch,
Department of Education,
P.O. Box 4750,
St. John's, Nd.,
A1C 5T7(709) 576-5906

Prince Edward Island
Ian Scott, Management,
Adult and Continuing Education,
Department of Industry,
P.O.Box 2000,
Charlottetown, P.E.I.,
C1A 7N8(902) 368-4471

John Joe Sark,
Department of Secretary of State
Suite 316, Dominion Building
97 Queens Street
Charlottetown, P.E.I.(902) 566-7181
C1A 4A9

Nova Scotia
Kathie Swenson,
Executive Director,
Department of Advanced Education and
Job Training,
1701 Hollis Street,
P.O. Box 2086, Station "M"

Halifax, N.S.,
B3J 5B7(902) 424-7573

New Brunswick
Richard Tenderda,
Literacy Programming,
Education Services,
Department of Advanced Education and Training,
Fredericton, N.B.,
E3B 5H1(506) 453-8245

Quebec
Lino Mastriani
Coordonnateur de l'alphabétisation
Direction générale de l'éducation des adultes
Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec
1035, rue De la Chevrotière
Quebec (Quebec)
G1R 5A5(416) 643-5267

Ontario
Betty Butterworth,
Literacy Unit,
Ministry of Education,
625 Church Street, 6th Floor,
Toronto, Ontario
M4Y 2E8(416) 326-5488

Priscilla Hewitt,
Native Literacy Consultant,
Literacy Unit,
Ministry of Education,
625 Church Street,
Toronto, Ontario,
M4Y 2E8(416) 326-5488

Manitoba
Devron Gaber,
Literacy Director,
Manitoba Literacy Office,
Department of Education and Training,
100-1200 Portage Ave.,
Winnipeg, Man.,
R3G 0T5(204) 945-8247

Saskatchewan
Duane Rose,
Managing Director,
Saskatchewan Literacy Campaign,
Department of Education,
2220 College Ave.,
Regina, Sask.,
S4P 3V7(306) 787-5595

Robin Stonehouse,
Saskatchewan Literacy Council,
Saskatchewan Institute of Applied
Science and Technology
229 4th Avenue South,
Second Floor,
Saskatoon, Sask.,
S7K 1N1(306) 933-8362

Alberta
Keith Anderson,
Consultant, Adult Learning,
Advanced Education and Manpower,
11160 Jasper Avenue,
Edmonton, Alta.,
T5K 0L3(403) 427-5628

British Columbia
Gwen Armstrong,
Co-ordinator, Adult Basic Education,
Ministry of Advanced Education and
Job Training,
Parliament Buildings,
818 Broughton Street,
Victoria, B.C.,
V8V 1X4(604) 387-6176

Charles Horn, Project Officer
Ministry of Native Affairs,
Fifth Floor, 712 Yates Street
Victoria, B.C.
V8V 1X5(604) 387-1287

Northwest Territories
Lynn Fogwill,
Literacy Coordinator,
Education,
Government of the NWT,
Yellowknife, NWT.,
X1A 2L9(403) 920-3482

Yukon
Carolyn Hole
Advanced Education Branch
Yukon Department of Education
P.O. Box 2703
Whitehorse, Yukon
Y1A 2C6(403) 667-5142

Elsie Netro,
Advanced Education Branch,
Department of Education,
P.O. Box 2703,
Whitehorse, Yukon,

Y1A 2C6(403) 667-5952

Mary Louise Fournier,
Co-Ordinator,
Yukon Literacy Council,
Yukon College,
Whitehorse, Yn.(403) 668-8000

APPENDIX C

List of Aboriginal Organizations and Affiliates Surveyed

Metis National Council
Ron Rivard
Executive Director,
558 Whitewood Cres.,
Saskatoon, Sask.,
S7J 4L8(506) 373-8855

Metis Association of Alberta,
Larry Desmeules, President,
#120-12520 St. Albert Trail,
Edmonton, Alta.,
T5L 4H4(403) 455-2200

Metis Society of Saskatchewan,
Jim Durocher, President,
1249 8th Street East,
Saskatoon, Sask.,
S7H 0S5(306) 343-8285

Labrador Metis Association,
Box 599, Station B.,
Happy Valley, Nf.,
A0P 1E0(709) 896-5112

Native Council of P.E.I.,
Graham Tuplin,
33 Allen St.,
Charlottetown, P.E.I.
C1A 3B7(902) 892-5314

New Brunswick Aboriginal Peoples' Council,
Raymond Gould
320 St. Marie's St.,
Fredericton, N.B.,
E3A 2S5(506) 458-8422

Ontario Metis and Aboriginal Association,
Brad Thompson,
369 Queen St. E., Suite 202,
Sault Ste Marie, Ont.,
P6A 1Z4(705) 949-5161

Manitoba Metis Federation,
Audreen Hourie,
Provincial Education Coordinator,
408 McGregor St.,
Winnipeg, Man.,
R2W 1X4(204) 586-8474

Manitoba Metis Federation,
Yuon Dumont, President,
408 McGregor Street
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R2W 1X4(204) 586-8474

Metis Association of Alberta,
Doreen Richardson,
Action Centre,
#109 - 12520 St. Albert Trail,
Edmonton, Alberta,
T5L 4H4(405) 451-2870

Metis Association of NWT
Bren Kolson,
Box 1375,
5125 50th St.,
Yellowknife, NWT.,
X1A 2P1(403) 873-3505

Dene/Metis Co-ordinating Group,
Dene/Metis Negotiating Secretariat,
Ray Griffith,
Box 1417,
Yellowknife, N.W.T.,
X1A 2P1(403) 920-2725

The Pacific Metis Federation,
Norman B. Evans,
President,
503 Comox Road,
Nanaimo, B.C.
V9R 3J2(604) 753-1269

APPENDIX D

Programs and/or Program Deliverers Surveyed

Journey's Adult Education Association
3rd Floor, 414 Graham
Winnipeg, Man. R3C 0L8
Contact: Kathleen Walsh(204) 943-1170

Brandon Friendship Centre Literacy Program
303 - 9th Street

Brandon, Man. R7A 4A8
Contact: Louise Phaneuf-Miron(204) 727-1407

Micmac Facilitator,
Department of Education,
542 Prince St.,
Truro, N.S.
B2N 1G1
Contact: Theresa Isaac Julien(902) 893-5989

Malecite Literacy Worker,
New Brunswick Community College,
P.O. Box 1175,
Woodstock, N.B.,
E0J 2B0
Contact: Bill Paul(506) 328-9386

Literacy Project
Bev Cardinal,
Director,
On-Campus Program
SIAST/Native Services Division,
2nd Floor
221 Winnipeg Street North,
Regina, Sask.,
S4P 3E1(506) 787-0181

Friendship Inn Literacy Project,
Doris Anderson,
Co-Ordinator/Facilitator
619 20th St. W.,
Saskatoon, Sask.,(506) 242-5122

Inuit Literacy Program
Torngasok Cultural Centre,
P.O. Box 40,
Nain, Labrador,
A0P 1L0
Contact: Gary Baikie(709) 922-2941
and Jan Woodford(709) 922-2158

Project Word Power
Yukon College
Box 2799
Whitehorse, Yukon Y1A 5K4
Contact: Mary Louise Fournier(403) 668-8000

Native Language Institute,
Yukon College,
Box 2799
Whitehorse, Yukon Y1A 5K4
Contact: Ann Cullen(403) 668-8800

STRIDE

Literacy Attainment for Natives
Columbia Training Centre,
802-Manning Rd. N.E.,
Calgary, Alta.,
T2E 7N8

Contact: Wendy Russell(403) 240-1919

Northwest Territories

Arctic College,
Yellowknife, NWT.,

Contact: Mark Cleveland, Pres.(403) 920-6306
Bill Stapleton

Lovesick Lake Native Literacy Alert,

Burleigh Falls, Ontario
K0L 1K0(705) 654-4222

Contact: Pearl Parkin
Site Visit

OMA Local #5 Literacy Project,

Sharbot Lake, Ontario
K0H 2P0(615) 279-3251

Contact: Carol Pepper
On-Site Interview

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adult Education Act Amendments of 1984. Report to Accompany S. 2496, Senate, 98th Congress, 2d. session Congress of U.S. Washington, D.C. Senate Committee on Labour and Human Resources, 6, June 1984.

Anderson, Kim, "Native Women and Literacy", Canadian Woman Studies, 10, 2&3, summer/fall, 1989, 79-80.

Arnett, Robert James, "A Study of the needs of Older Adults in Selected communities in the Northwest Territories" Unpub MSW, University of Calgary, Social Work, 1975.

Arnov Robert F. and Harvey J. Graff, "National Literacy Campaigns: Historical and Comparative Lessons" Phi Delta Kappan, 69, 3, November 1987, 202-207.

Balfanz, D.J. "Indian Cultural and Educational Strategies upon which to base a reading program." Unpub M.Ed. University of Wisconsin, 1971.

Balmuth, Miriam, Essential Characteristics of Adult Literacy Programs: A Review and Analysis of the Research, 2nd Ed. Albany, N.Y.: Bureau of Adult and Continuing Education Program Development, 1987.

Battiste, Marie Ann. An Historical Investigation of the Social and Cultural Consequences of Micmac Literacy. Pub Ed.D Stanford University, Curriculum and Instruction, 1984.

Battiste, Marie. Micmac Literacy and Cognitive Assimilation. Paper presented at the International Conference of Mokakit Indian Education Research Association, London, Ontario, 26 July 1984.

Berry, J.W. and J.A Bennett, "Syllabic Literacy and Cognitive Performance Among the Cree", International Journal of Psychology. 1989, 24 (4), 429-450.

Bhola, H.S., Campaigning for Literacy: A Critical Analysis of Some Selected Literacy Campaigns of the 20th Century with a memorandum to Decision Makers. Paris: UNESCO International Council for Adult Education, 1982.

Blunt, Adrian, "The Characteristics of participants in Indian Adult Education Program" Unpub M.A. University of Calgary, Education, 1972.

Bobbish, James, "Mother Tongue Literacy: Indian Languages" a presentation to Cicumpolar Conference on Literacy by Cree School Board, Yellowknife, NWT, April, 1990.

Boloz Sigmund A. and Diana Jenness, "The Sun is Shining in My Eyes: The Navajo Child Enters Kindergarten Expecting to Write and He Can",

Journal of American Indian Education, 23, 3, May 1984, 25-30.

Brewington, Lisa A. Program Evaluation and Implementation Report - Adult Basic Education Program. North Carolina Commission of Indian Affairs, March 29, 1985.

Brid, Lois B. The Reflection of Personal Experiences in the Writing of Papago Indian Children. University of Arizona: Ph.D, 1984.

Brod, Rodney L. and John M. McQuiston, "American Indian Adult Education and Literacy: The First National Study", Journal of American Indian Education, 22, 2, January, 1983, 1-16.

Brown, James I., Reading Power, Lexington: D.C Heath and Co., 1975.

Browne, Duana Bell "Learning Styles and Native Americans" Canadian Journal of Native Education, 17, 1, 1990, 23-35.

Browne, Duana Bell, Whole Language: An Approach to Reading that Fits Native American Reading Styles, Viewpoints, 1986. ERIC ED 296861

Buckley, Peggy and Penny Carriere, "Literacy for Change", Canadian Woman Studies, 9, 3&4, 73-76.

Burghardt, F.F. Can the Problem of Illiteracy be Solved? A View Based on the AVC Edmonton's Adult Basic Education Program, A paper presented at the Conference on Change: Implications for Adult Learning, Regina, Sask., May 1987.

Cairns, V.B. "A Study of Adult Education in Saskatoon with Reference to the Canadian Scene" Unpub M.Ed., University of Saskatchewan, Education, 1950.

Carbo, Marie "Deprogramming Reading Failure: Giving Unequal Learners an Equal Chance", Phi Delta Kappan, 69, 3, November 1987, 197-202.

Carpenter, Tracy, The Right to Read, Toronto: Frontier College, 1986.

Chall, Jeanne S., Elizabeth Heron and Ann Hilferty, "Adult Literacy: New and Enduring Problems" Phi Delta Kappan, 69, 3, November 1987, 190-197

Clyne, Roger Dean, Learning Style Preference and Reading Achievement of Urban Alaskan Native Students. Oregon State University, Ph.D dissertation, 1984.

Chaisson, Joseph Thomas, "Residential Adult Education and the Condition of Participation in Learning - an Exploration" Unpub M.Cont. Ed., University of Saskatchewan, Continuing Education, 1970.

Courtney, Richard, "Islands of Remorse: Amerindian Education in the Contemporary World", Curriculum Inquiry 16, 1, (1986), 43-64.

Creative Research Group Ltd., Literacy in Canada: A Research Report. Toronto: Southam News, August 1987.

Dooley, Mary S., Dialogue Journals: Facilitating the Reading-Writing Connection with Native American Students, Northern Michigan University, requirement for a Master's degree, January 1987.

Dyson, Anne Haas, "Oral Language: The Rooting System for Learning to Write", Language Arts, 58, 7, October 1981, 776-784.

Enochs, John Romely III, "The relationship between Choctaw teachers and non-Choctaw teachers' perceptions of Choctaw First Graders and Student Achievement in Reading". Unpub. Ph.D. Elementary Education, University of Mississippi, 1977.

Fargo, G.A. The Power of Literacy: Applied to Traditional Birth Attendants, Saulteaux-Cree Indians and Hawaiian Children. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Claremont Reading Conference, Claremont, California, January, 1981.

Ferdman, Bernardo M. "Literacy and Cultural Identity" Harvard Educational Review, 60, 2, May 1990, 181-204.

Fletcher, J.D., "What Problems Do American Indians Have With English", Journal of American Indian Education, 23, 1, October 1983, 1-14.

Freire, Paulo, Pedagogy of the Oppressed, New York: Herder and Herder, 1972.

Gabriel Dumont Institute in co-operation with Saskatchewan Institute of Applied Science and Technology, Literacy Manual, Regina, 1989.

Gee, James Paul, "Literacy, Discourse and Linguistics: Introduction", Journal of Education, 171, 1, 1989, 5-18.

Gee, James Paul, "What is Literacy?" Journal of Education, 171, 1, 1989, 18-26.

Gee, James Paul, "Literacies and "Traditions"", Journal of Education, 171, 1, 1989, 26-39.

Gee, James Paul, "Orality and Literacy: From The Savage Mind to Ways With Words", Journal of Education, 171, 1, 1989, 39-61.

Gee, James Paul, "Literariness, Formalism, and Sense Making: The Line and Stanza Structure of Human Thought", Journal of Education, 171, 1, 1989, 61-75.

Gee, James Paul, "The Narrativization of Experience in the Oral Style", Journal of Education, 171, 1, 1989, 75-97.

Gee, James Paul, "Two Styles of Narrative Construction and their Linguistic and Educational Implications", Journal of Education, 171, 1, 1989, 97-116.

Gee, James Paul, "Dracula, the Vampire Lestat, and TESOL", Journal of Education, 171, 1, 1989, 116-135.

Gee, James Paul, "What Do English Teachers Teach? (or Why Isn't the Pope a Bachelor?)", Journal of Education, 171, 1, 1989, 135-147.

Gee, James Paul, "The Legacies of Literacy: From Plato to Friere through Harvey Graff", Journal of Education, 171, 1, 1989, 147-166.

Goodman, Kenneth, Yetta Goodman and Barbara Flores, Reading in the Bilingual Classroom: Literacy and Biliteracy, National Clearinghouse of Bilingual Education, n.d.

Goodman, Yetta. M. and Sandra Wilde, Writing Development in 3rd and 4th Grade Native American Students. Occasional Papers Program in Language and Literacy No. 14, November, 1985.

Goody, John Rankine, and Ian Watt, "The Consequences of Literacy" in Literacy in Traditional Societies, ed. J.R. Goody. Cambridge: Cambridge University press, 1968, 27-68.

Graff, Harvey, The Legacies of Literacy: Continuities and Contradictions in Western Culture and Society. Bloomington, Indiana: Indiana University Press, 1987.

Graff, H. J., The Literacy Myth: Literacy and Social Structure in the 19th Century City. New York: Academic Press, 1979.

Gregory, George Ann, "Composing Processes of Native Americans: Six Case Studies of Navajo Speakers", Journal of American Indian Education, January 1989, 1-6.

Hargrave, Susanne ed. Literacy in An Aboriginal Context. Work Papers of SIL-AAB Series B. Vol. 6, Darwin Australia, Summer Institute of Linguistics, April 1981

Harper, Kenn, "Writing in Inuktitut: An Historical Perspective", Inuktitut, 53: 3-35.

Harrison, George William, "A Survey of Indian Education in Five Selected Alberta Community Colleges" unpub Ph.D University of Washington, Geography, 1977.

Havelock, Eric A., The Muse Learns to Write. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1986.

Henson, Jane E., "Pedagogy and Politics in Native Literacy Projects: The Case of the Native Adult Learning Group", The Canadian Journal of Native Studies, 11, 1, 1982, 71-89.

Hewitt, Priscilla, "Educating Priscilla", Canadian Woman Studies, 9, 3&4, 12-14.

Hindle, Judith K., Literacy Learning in Saskatchewan: A Review of Adult Literacy Programs (1989), Regina: University of Regina, June 1990.

Hirsch, Bernard A. "The Telling Which Continues: Oral Tradition and the Written Word in Leslie Marmon Silko's Storyteller", American Indian Quarterly, 12, 1, Winter, 1988, 1-27.

Hobbs, Sandra D., "A Beginning Reading Program for a Select Group of Native Students" Unpub M.Ed., Memorial University, Curriculum and Instruction, 1985

Hymer, Robert "Computers and Writing", Journal of American Indian Education, May 1988, 35-41.

Jaimes, M. Annette, "The Myth of Indian Education in the American Education System", Action in Teacher Education, 5, 3, 15-19.

Kasten, Wendy Christina, The Behaviors Accompanying the Writing Process in Selected third and Fourth Grade Native American Children, University of Arizona, Ph.D dissertation, 1984.

Keewatin-Aski Ltd., A Training Needs And Demonstration Project Evaluation Study, October 1989.

Lake, Randall. "Enacting Red Power: The Consummatory Function in American Protest Rhetoric", Quarterly Journal of Speech, 69 (1983), 127-142.

Leader-Post, Literacy: Special Report, Regina, Sk.,: Leader-Post, October, 1987.

Littlejohn, Catherine, Background Paper on Native Education, paper written for the Curriculum and Instruction Review Advisory Committee to the Minister of Education for Saskatchewan Regina, October, 1983

Mace-Matluck, Betty J. et al., Language and Literacy Learning in Bilingual Instruction. Preliminary Report. Descriptive Studies-Asian, Spanish, Navajo. Southwest Educational Development Lab., Austin, Texas, September, 1982.

McConnell, Taylor, "Oral Cultures and Literate Research", Religious Education, 81, 3, Summer, 1986, 341-355.

McGrath, Robin, Canadian Inuit Literature: The Development of Tradition, Ottawa: National Museums of Canada, 1984.

McGrath, Robin, "The History of Inuit Literacy in Labrador" paper delivered in Yellowknife, April, 1990.

McGreevy, Carol-Jean. "I Don't Understand My Textbooks" Students Comment on their Indian Bilingual Schooling in Mexico. Contempo

rary Educational Psychology, 1984, July Vol. 9 (3), 260-268.

McLaughlin, Daniel. Literacy in Navajoland. Functions and Effects of Power. Paper presented to the Annual Ethnography in Education Research Forum, Philadelphia, March, 1985.

McQuiston, John M., Brod, Rodney. The Status of Educational Attainment and Performance of Adult American Indians and Alaskan Natives. National Indian Management Service of America Inc., Philadelphia, Miss., 1981.

Mogan, Susan Barbara, An Analysis of the Development of a Literacy and Life Skills Curriculum for Native Adults, Unpub M.A. University of British Columbia, 1986.

National Indian Brotherhood, Assembly of First Nations, The Aboriginal Language Policy Study. Ottawa: National Indian Brotherhood, 1988.

National Indian Brotherhood, Assembly of First Nations, Tradition and Education: Towards a Vision of Our Future. A Declaration of First Nations Jurisdiction Over Education, Ottawa: National Indian Brotherhood, 1988.

National Indian Brotherhood, Assembly of First Nations, Tradition and Education: Towards a Vision of Our Future. Volumes 1,2, and 3. Ottawa: National Indian Brotherhood, 1988.

Ong, Walter, J., Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word, London: Methuen, 1982.

Ovanda, Carlos J. and Virginia P. Collier, Bilingual and ESL Classrooms: Teaching in Multicultural Contexts. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1985.

Oversight Hearing on the Reauthorization of the Library Services and Construction Act Hearings before the Subcommittee on Post-secondary Education, Washington, D.C.

Paul, William, "A Position Paper on Native Literacy in New Brunswick", unpublished paper available from the author.

Reyhner, Jon Allan, "Indian Teachers as Cultural Translators" Journal of American Indian Education, 21, November 1981, 19-23.

Ridley, Karen, "A Learning Materials Package in Canadian Government for Adult Basic Education Students in Northern Saskatchewan." Unpub M.Ed University of Saskatchewan, Curriculum Studies, 1986.

Rozier, Paul Webb "A Comparative study of Two Approaches of Introducing Reading to Navajo Children: the direct approach and the Native Language Approach" Unpub. Ed.D University of Arizona. Educational Administration, 1977

Scollon, Ron and Suzanne B.K. Scollon, Athabaskan-English Interethnic Communication, Alaska Native Language Center, January 1979.

Scollon, Ron and Scollon, Suzanne B. K., Literacy as Interethnic Communication: An Athabaskan Case. Working Papers in Sociolinguistics, No. 59. Southwest Educational Development Lab. Austin Texas, April 1979.

Scollon, Ron and Suzanne B. K. Scollon, Narrative, Literacy and Face in Interethnic Communication, Norwood, N.J.: Ablex, 1981.

Shearwood, Perry, "Literacy Among the Aboriginal Peoples of the Northwest Territories", The Canadian Modern Language Review, 43, 4, May, 1987, 630-642.

Snively, Gloria, "Traditional Native Indian Beliefs, Cultural Values and Science Instruction", Canadian Journal of Native Education, 17, 1, 1990, 44-57.

Slobodin, Richard, "Kutchin". In Handbook of North American Indians, Volume 6, Subarctic, ed. June Helm, Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian, 514-532.

Spolsky, Bernard. Literacy in the Vernacular: The Navajo Reading Study. Paper read at the Council on Anthropology and Education Symposium on Cognition and Linguistic Studies, 69th Annual Meeting of the American Anthropological Association, San Diego, California, November 19, 1970.

Spolsky, Bernard, The Situation of Navajo Literacy Projects. Navajo Reading Study Progress Reports No. 17. Albuquerque, New Mexico, New Mexico University, April 1972.

Taylor, Denny and Catherine Dorsey-Gaines, Growing Up Literate. Portsmouth, New Hampshire: Heinemann, 1988.

Toohy, Kelleen Ann. "Northern Native Canadian Second Language Education: A Case Study of Fort Albany." unpub Ph.D., University of Toronto, Education, 1982.

Whimby, Arthur, "A 15th Grade Reading Level for High School Seniors," Phi Delta Kappan, November 1987, 207.

Wiggins, Betty Cynthia. "Adult Basic Education for B.C. Indians: An Evaluation of Existing Programs" Unpub M.Ed. University of British Columbia, Education, 1971.

Wilde, Sandra J., "The Experience and Consequences of Literacy: A Case Study" Language Arts, 56, 2, February 1979, 141-145.

Zaharlick, Amy. "Native Americans and Literacy", in Robert St. Clair and William Leap, (editors) Language Renewal Among Indian Tribes: Issues, Problems and Prospects. Arlington, Va.: National Clearinghouse for Bilingual Education, 1982, 42-49.

Government Documents

Alberta, Northern Alberta Development Council, Adult Literacy in Northern Alberta, A Background Report, March 1989.

Alberta, Northern Alberta Development Council, Adult Literacy in Northern Alberta, Position Paper, July, 1989.

Alberta, Northern Alberta Development Council, Early School Leavers in Northern Alberta, Summary Report, June, 1984.

Alberta, Northern Alberta Development Council, Early School Leavers in Northern Alberta, Workshop Report, June, 1984.

British Columbia, Native Literacy and Life Skills Curriculum Guidelines. Victoria, B.C.: Ministry of Education, 1984.

British Columbia, Opening the Doors to Lifelong Learning: Empowering Undereducated Adults, Report of the Provincial Literacy Advisory Committee to the Minister of Advanced Education, Training and Technology, Victoria, B.C.: Ministry of Advanced Education, Training and Technology, 1989.

British Columbia, Report of Provincial Committee on Post-Secondary Education for Native Learners, March, 1990.

Government of the Northwest Territories, The Report of the Task Force on Aboriginal Languages, February 28, 1986.

Government of the Northwest Territories, The SCONE Report: Building Our Economic Future, October 1989.

Ontario, Ministry of Education, Ontario Government Literacy Programs and International Literacy Year Activities, Literacy Branch, 1990.

Saskatchewan Education, A Five Year Action Plan for Native Curriculum Development, The Report of the Native Curriculum Review Committee, March, 1984.

Saskatchewan Education, Northern Education Task Force Report to the Minister of Education Summary of Findings, November, 1989.

Saskatchewan Education, Recommendations to the Minister of Education on the Saskatchewan Literacy Campaign, 1987-1990, February 14, 1990.

